(2)

Page No. ..... (1)

(5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 778 OF 2002

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER : S.K.Gupta, s/o late R.L.Gupta, aged 50 years, occupation service Accountant, S. E. C. L. Bhatgaon Area, District Sarguja (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. South Eastern Coal Fields Limited through its Chairman Cum Managing Director Seepat Road Bilaspur(Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 226/12
Presented by Shri A.M. Nawrange
dated 12.04.12

2. Deputy Chief Personnel Manager, South Eastern Coal Fields Limited Bhatgaon Area, Jarahi, District Sarguja(Chhattisgarh)

3. General Manager, South Eastern Coal Fields Limited Bhatgaon Area, Jarhi, District Sarguja(Chhattisgarh)

अपर आयुक्त, एस. ई. सी. एल. बिलासपुर तहसील बिलासपुर

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER** : AS detailed in the cause title above.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS** : As detailed in the cause title above.

(31)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर
W.P.No. 778/2002

मामला क्रमांक.....सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	17.6.2002 Shri Alok Bakshi, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri R.S.Jaiswal, Advocate present in the Court is given copy of the petition alongwith annexures from the Court record for appearing on behalf of the respondents. Heard. By this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India the petitioner is challenging the order dated 30.11.2001 (Annexure P-6) whereby market rent is being charged from him. The order says that the petitioner was transferred from Vishrampur to Bhatgaon on 17.4.1996 but inspite of several letters written by management when he did not vacate the house at Vishrampur; the order dated 30.11.2001 has been issued. The petitioner made a representation(Annexure P-7) dated 10.12.2001and has contended therein that market rate rent should not be charged from him as there are other similarly situated persons from whom market rate rent has not been charged. He has mentioned name of 11 persons in his representation. It is also submitted that the petitioner has made another representation dt.5.12.2001	

-50,000.

(32)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक.....**सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	(Annexure P-8) which has not been replied by the respondents. However, the petitioner has not served notice for demand of justice. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, in the opinion of this Court the ends of justice will be served if the petitioner makes a representation alongwith copy of this petition and order passed today within a period of 10 days from today, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of such representation and shall see that there should be no discrimination between the similarly situated persons. With the above observations this petition is disposed of at this stage. Parties are entitled for certified copy. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

GRPRJ—FS/78 —9/200(—50,000.